



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,499	06/14/2002	Matthias Hessling	10191/2152	6405
26646	7590	02/18/2005	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			NGUYEN, CINDY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
DATE MAILED: 02/18/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/031,499

Applicant(s)

HESSLING ET AL.

Examiner

Cindy Nguyen

Art Unit

2161

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 19-37 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 19-37 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 14 June 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/15/02
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to application filed on 06-14-2002 in which claims 19-37 are presented for examination.

Priority(IDS)

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on 01/15/2002 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609. Because it has been placed in the application file, and the information referred to therein has been considered as to the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 19-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mannings et al. (US 6169515) (Mannings).

Regarding claims 19, ~~30~~, 31, 33 and 36, Mannings discloses: A method for at least one of encoding, decoding and transmitting location information, the method comprising:

at least one of encoding, decoding and transmitting location information of a data packet¹ (col. 7, lines 40-48, Mannings), the location information including locating information (location database) and description information (geographic database), wherein the data packet separately contains the locating information and the description information, and includes assignment information for assigning at least a part of the locating information to at least a part of the description information (col. 7, lines 40-48, Mannings).

Regarding claim 20, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 19 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the locating information includes at least one first coordinate chain that includes at least one first point (col. 14, lines 14-22, Mannings).

Regarding claim 21, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 20 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first coordinate chain contains a second point, the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain is specified in absolute coordinates and the second point of the at least one first coordinate chain is specified in relative coordinates, with respect to one of a centroid coordinate and the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain (col. 13, lines 12-38, Mannings).

Regarding claim 22, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 21 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first point of the at

¹ data packet as geographic database 17 and location database 18.

least one first coordinate chain is interpreted in a defined direction by the second point of the at least one first coordinate chain (col. 13, lines 12-38, Mannings).

Regarding claim 23, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 19 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the description information includes at least one first attribute field (col. 9, lines 1-15, Mannings).

Regarding claim 24, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 23 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first attribute field includes a type specification and description data, and the description data is determined by the type specification with respect to at least one of a name, an accuracy, a direction, a time, a point of interest and a physical link (col. 9, lines 1-15, Mannings).

Regarding claim 25, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 23 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the assignment information includes at least one first assignment entry and the at least one first attribute field and the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain are assigned to each other by the at least one first assignment entry (col. 14, lines 15-37, Mannings).

Regarding claim 26, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 25 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first assignment entry includes a reference to the at least one first attribute field and a reference to the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain (col. 14, lines 15-37, Mannings).

Regarding claim 27, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 25 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first assignment entry includes one of (i) a reference to the at least one first attribute field and a reference to a

plurality of points of coordinate chains of the locating information, and (ii) a reference to a plurality of attribute fields and a reference to the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain² (col. 13, lines 12-45, Mannings).

Regarding claim 28, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 19 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the data packet includes a header part of the location information and a data part of the location information³ (col. 7, lines 40-48, Mannings).

Regarding claim 29, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 28 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the header part includes structure information specifying a data structure of the location information, and includes interpreting instructions specifying a purpose of the location information (col. 11, lines 55 to col. 12, lines 4, Mannings).

Regarding claim 32, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claims 31 and 20 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein a definition of the at least one first point of the at least one first coordinate chain is definable as a function of location information query (col. 11, lines 55 to col. 12, lines 4, Mannings).

Regarding claim 34, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 33 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the location information is at least partially correlatable with data of a first database associated with the decoding device (col. 7, lines 45-48, Mannings).

² The road junction connected between the roads as reference to the assignment entry.

³ A header part as the database stores the definitions of a number of overlay areas with together form a geographical overlay.

Regarding claim 35, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 34 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein at least one of location information that is not contained in the first database and location information is not correlated with data of the first database is stored in a second database associated with the decoding device (col. 7, lines 40-48, Mannings).


Regarding claim 37, all the limitations of this claim have been noted in the rejection of claim 20 above. In addition, Mannings discloses: wherein the at least one first point includes a geographical point (col. 13, lines 12-38, Mannings).

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cindy Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4025. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 571-272-4023. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.


Cindy Nguyen
February 15, 2005


FRANTZ COBY
PRIMARY EXAMINER